

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DAVID MICHAEL STEINHAUER,

Petitioner,

vs.

E. K. MCDANIEL, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. 3:08-CV-00619-LRH-(VPC)

**ORDER**

Respondents have submitted a Motion for Enlargement of Time (First Request) (#22), in which they ask for additional time to respond to the Petition (#10), and a Motion for Enlargement of Time (Second Request) (#23), in which they ask for additional time to respond to Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration (#17). Good cause appearing;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Respondents' Motion for Enlargement of Time (First Request) (#22) is **GRANTED**. Respondents shall have through June 29, 2009, to file and serve an answer or other response to the Petition (#12).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondents' Motion for Enlargement of Time (Second Request) (#23) is **GRANTED**. Respondents shall have through May 22, 2009, to file and serve a response to Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration (#17).

DATED this 19<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2009.



LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE